

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/529,365	06/13/2000	CHRISTOPH ESPEY	225/48700	8003
7	7590 05/20/2004		EXAM	INER
CROWELL &	& MORING, LLP		FOX, JO	OHN C
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY GROUP			
P.O. BOX 1430	00		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			3753	

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	M			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	∥ V			
	09/529,365	ESPEY, CHRISTOPH	V			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Fox	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply secified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atte. cause the application to become ARA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 15 from the mailing date of this communicatio	n.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	February 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	<u>f 28</u> is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	i).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/529,365

Art Unit: 3753

This action is responsive to the communication filed February 24, 2004.

Claims 1-7 and 15-21 have been cancelled.

Claims 10-14, 23, 25-26 and 28 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. 1 .142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species. Election of Species E was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 8-9, 24, 22 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon et al in view of Wich. Gordon et al show the claimed valve but does not disclose how the fuel pressure is regulated to inject fuel at the desired time and duration. Note that in Gordon et al the surface 17 is adjacent the step and fairly responds to the claim recitation of a guide surface. Wich shows a fuel injector system which uses a solenoid valve to regulate fuel pressure to the injector, which is actuated by fuel pressure in the same manner as Gordon et al. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have used such a solenoid valve with the injector of Gordon et al to similarly regulate the fuel pressure seen by the injector. Such a combination can reasonably be described as an electrically actuated or activated (injector) valve.

Applicant's remarks have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive.

The flow of Gordon et al is homogonous due to the disclosed structure, which can reasonably be considered free of cavitation since cavitating fluid would be inhomogonous. The valve of Gordon et al thus fairly meets the claim.

Applicant's argument that claims 8 and 22 require the electrical activating device to move the valve is not understood. Claims 8 and 22 do not recite an electrical activating device. Using a solenoid pilot valve as Wich teaches is suggested by the references.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 703-308-2595. The examiner can normally be reached on Maximum Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherble can be reached on 703-308-1257. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/529,365

Art Unit: 3753

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753 Serial Number: 09/529365

Art Unit: 3753

This action is responsive to the communication filed October 8, 2003. Claims 1-7 and 15-21 have been cancelled.

Claims 10-14 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species. Election of Species E was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 8-9 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. []102(b) as being anticipated by Bochland. Bochland shows a valve with valve member 16, bore 21, solenoid 25, and a valve head having a conical face 29 cooperating with a conical seat 32, which forms a contact surface, a step 33 and a guide surface 35. It is inherent to the Bochland valve that valve member 16 is guided in bore 21.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and